Submission ID: 22165

Stoney Stanton is a unique village surrounded by farming land/ countryside. It is adjacent and has boundaries to two villages, Sapcote and Elmsthorpe which share services of Stoney Stanton. Services are poorly provided since expanded residential developments of Stoney Stanton and adjacent villages have seen little expansion of infrastructure and services to cope. This is already a sorry state and a fact.

Further development of countryside adjacent to Stoney Stanton to change the status of Stoney Stanton to a 'sub-urban, satellite' development of Hinckley are contrary to any clear positive outcomes for residents of the three villages mentioned. Nowhere have these concerns been correctly addressed, despite clear opportunity to do so and obvious objections. The plans as presented are wholly insufficient to meet the demands of the new residential community let alone the existing. There is little meaningful additional connectivity proposed, only access to already crowded countryside capacity roads. There is no consideration to connect to the rail network for civilian use, although the project itself leverages 'advantages of' a rail infrastructure plan.

The proposed plans will destroy a way of life and community for little overall 'proven advantage' over other such development land opportunities which are associated/ attached to the boundaries of Hinckley itself. As such the proposals are without any sound basis to justify the conversion of countryside land to other use and can be considered therefore economically opportunist!

Faithfully, Ingrid Charnley SToney Stanton Resident